

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trad mark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		АП	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/787,360	03/16/01	HORIE	ļy	1 0.6	3396	
Γ		HZ12/1017	¬ [EXA	AMINER	
SUGHRUE MION ZINN			CH	CHERNYSHEV, O		
MACPEAK & SEA	S			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2100 PENNSYLV NASHINGTON DC		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		346 NTE MAILED: 10	6 /17/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany	09/787,360	HORIE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Olga N. Chernyshev	1646			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ON. FR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a repon. The areply within the statutory minimum of thirty (period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH statute, cause the application to become ABAI	oly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1119</u> is/are pending in the applic	ation.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	thdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠ Claims <u>1-19</u> are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are obje	cted to by the Examiner.				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) c	disapproved.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		.,,,,,,,			
1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docu		olication No.			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•				
application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action for		oceived			
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for					
The second secon	domosto priority and of occio	. 3 110(0).			
Attachment(s)					
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) 🔲 Interview S	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)			
16) Notice of Trefferences Orice (170-032) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	148) 19) Notice of Ir	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in response to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group 1, claim(s) 1-6 and 13, drawn to a gene and oligonucleotide.

Group 2, claim(s) 7-8, drawn to an expression product.

Group 3, claim(s) 9, drawn to an antibody.

Group 4, claim(s) 10, drawn to an expression product having a physiological activity.

Group 5, claim(s) 11-12, drawn to a therapeutic and prophylactic composition.

Group 6, claim(s) 14 and 19, drawn to a gene therapy composition and a method of use.

Group 7, claim(s) 15, drawn to a gene-specific probe.

Group 8, claim(s) 16, drawn to a method of screening for candidate compounds.

Group 9, claim(s) 17-18, drawn to a method for therapy involving administration of the protein.

The inventions listed as Groups 1-13 do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Claim 1 is directed to a gene coding a protein having an amino acid sequence derived from amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:1 by deletion,

Art Unit: 1646

substitution or addition of one or plurality of amino acids and having a physiological activity of neuronal-supporting activity, such as NGF, for example; therefore, the gene fails to define over prior art and cannot serve as a unifying special technical feature. The "special technical features" means those technical features that define a contribution over the prior art. (See M.P.E.P. 1850.) Thus, the apparent "special technical feature" of these claims cannot form the basis of unity of invention and the main invention, which forms a single inventive concept, is Group 1, claims 1-6 and 13, drawn to a gene and oligonucleotide.

Groups 2-9, which are directed to either compounds or to additional methods, do not possess special technical features as set forth above. Note that PCT Rule 13 does not provide for multiple products or methods within a single application. Therefore, unity of invention is lacking and restriction is proper.

2. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Different physiological activities of a protein (claims 1,10), or different pathological conditions (claims 12, 18).

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An

Art Unit: 1646

argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The following claim(s) are generic: 1, 10, 11,17.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the physiological activities and pathological conditions/diseases are different.

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olga N. Chernyshev whose telephone number is (703) 305-1003. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9 AM to 5 PM ET.

Art Unit: 1646

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler can be reached on (703) 308-6564. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-0294 for regular

communications and (703) 308-0294 for After Final communications.

Certain papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600

by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 1600 via the PTO Fax

center located in Crystal Mall 1 (CM1). The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices

published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December

28, 1993) (see 37 C.F.R. § 1.6(d)0. NOTE: If Applicant does submit a paper by fax, the original

signed copy should be retained by Applicant or Applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE

COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308-4556 or (703) 308-4242. If

either of these numbers is out of service, please call the Group receptionist for an alternative

number. Faxed draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (703)

308-0294. Official papers should NOT be faxed to (703) 308-0294.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Olga N. Chernyshev, Ph.D.

October 15, 2001

CHRISTINE J. SAOUD PRIMARY EXAMINER

Chustine J. Saoud